Connection Strength Evaluation of the Segmental Retaining Wall Unit "Murata" and "SG350" Geosynthetic Soil Reinforcement



Project No. 18-110-1 July 21, 2020 CONDUCTED FOR: Western Interlock Inc. 10095 Rickreall Rd. Rickreall, Oregon 97371

CONDUCTED BY:

NATIONAL CONCRETE MASONRY ASSOCIATION





RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT LABORATORY

The NCMA Research and Development Laboratory is devoted to the scientific research and testing of concrete masonry products and systems. The Laboratory is staffed by professional engineers and technicians with many years of experience in the concrete masonry industry. The Laboratory is equipped to perform nearly any physical research or testing of concrete masonry units and assemblages. The Laboratory performs research and development work for both the Association and individual companies.

NATIONAL CONCRETE MASONRY ASSOCIATION

The National Concrete Masonry Association (NCMA) is a non-profit organization whose mission is to support and advance the common interests of its members in the manufacture, marketing, research, and application of concrete masonry products. The Association is an industry leader in providing technical assistance and education, marketing, research and development, and product and system innovation to its members and to the industry.

Research and Development Laboratory Staff

Douglas H. Ross, Manager, Research and Development Laboratory Timothy Jones, Senior Laboratory Technician Carrie Lutz, Materials Research Assistant Stanley Smith, Laboratory Technician

NCMA Technical Staff

Jason J. Thompson, Vice President of Engineering Brian Roye, Engineering Projects Manager, Structural Monika Nain, Structural Hardscapes Manager

> National Concrete Masonry Association Research and Development Laboratory 13750 Sunrise Valley Drive Herndon, Virginia 20171 (703) 713-1900 www.ncma.org

THIS PUBLICATION IS INTENDED FOR USE BY PROFESSIONAL PERSONNEL COMPETENT TO EVALUATE THE SIGNIFICANCE AND LIMITATIONS OF THE INFORMATION PROVIDED HEREIN, AND WILLING TO ACCEPT TOTAL RESPONSIBILITY FOR THE APPLICATION OF THIS INFORMATION IN SPECIFIC INSTANCES. RESULTS FROM TESTS MAY VARY AND THE NATIONAL CONCRETE MASONRY ASSOCIATION (NCMA) DOES NOT WARRANT THE RESULTS CONTAINED HEREIN FOR SPECIFIC USES OR PURPOSES AND THE FINDINGS ARE NOT A SUBSTITUTE FOR SOUND ENGINEERING EVALUATIONS, JUDGMENT AND OPINIONS FOR SPECIFIC PROJECTS OR USES. THE NCMA IS NOT RESPONSIBLE FOR THE USE OR APPLICATION OF THE INFORMATION CONTAINED IN THIS PUBLICATION AND DISCLAIMS ALL RESPONSIBILITY THEREFORE

Connection Strength Evaluation of the Segmental Retaining Wall Unit "Murata" and "SG350" Geosynthetic Soil Reinforcement Page 2 of 13 Project Number: 18-110-1 July 21, 2020 The measured and calculated values provided in this report are the official values resulting from this body of work. Values in parenthesis are mathematical conversions provided for reference only and may differ slightly from the official values due to conversion rounding.

This report was prepared for Western Interlock Inc., by the National Concrete Masonry Association Research and Development Laboratory based upon testing, analyses, or observations performed by the National Concrete Masonry Association Research and Development Laboratory. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, or manufacturer does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement or recommendation by the National Concrete Masonry Association or its staff. The contents of this report have been reviewed by the following individuals, who believe to the best of their ability that the observations, results, and conclusions presented in this report are an accurate and true representation of the services provided.

The NCMA Research and Development Laboratory is accredited in accordance with the recognized International Standard ISO/IEC 17025:2017. This accreditation demonstrates technical competence for a defined scope and the operation of a laboratory quality management system (refer to the joint ISO-ILAC-IAF Communiqué dated April 2017). All test results presented here are within the scope of accreditation for the NCMA Research and Development Laboratory.

Results for ultimate strength of geosynthetic reinforcement in accordance with ASTM D6637 were provided by the manufacturer. These results have not been evaluated by the NCMA Research and Development Laboratory nor are they covered in the Laboratory's scope of accreditation. The gradation of aggregate was provided by the client. It is not evaluated by the NCMA Research and Development Laboratory.

Monika Nain, Manager, Engineering Projects Manager- Structural Hardscape

Doug H. Ross, Manager, Research and Development Laboratory

Jason J. Thompson, Vice President of Engineering

This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the written authorization of the National Concrete Masonry Association Research and Development Laboratory.

Connection Strength Evaluation of the Segmental Retaining Wall Unit "Murata" and "SG350" Geosynthetic Soil Reinforcement Page 3 of 13 Project Number: 18-110-1 July 21, 2020

7/21/2020 Date

7/21/2020 Date

7/21/2020

Date

Table of Contents

1.	INTRODUCTION	5
2.	MATERIALS	5
3.	CONNECTION STRENGTH PROCEDURES	7
4.	RESULTS	9
5.	DISCUSSION	10
6.	REFERENCES	11
AP	PENDIX A- "MURATA" UNIT AND "SG350"	12

Connection Strength Evaluation of the Segmental Retaining Wall Unit "Murata" and "SG350" Geosynthetic Soil Reinforcement

1. INTRODUCTION

The connection strength between a geosynthetic reinforcement and segmental retaining wall (SRW) unit is a design component of any mechanically stabilized earth system. This connection strength is determined through testing in accordance with ASTM D6638-11, *Standard Test Method for Determining Connection Strength Between Geosynthetic Reinforcement and Segmental Concrete Units (Modular Concrete Blocks)* (Ref. 1). In this project, the connection strength between "Murata" segmental retaining wall units and "SG350" geosynthetic reinforcement were evaluated, the results of which are reported herein.

2. MATERIALS

All SRW units and geosynthetic reinforcement were sampled and provided by the client. The SRW units are dry-cast concrete blocks with the trade name "Murata". Table 1 provides the representative dimensions of the units determined by the Laboratory as applicable to this testing program.



Figure 1 – "Murata" SRW Unit

Table 1 – Representative "Murata" SRW Unit Physical Properties		
Length front of unit, in. (mm)	15.72 (399.28)	
Length back of unit, in. (mm)	9.43 (239.52)	
Height, in. (mm)	7.91 (200.91)	
Width, in. (mm)	11.6 (294.64)	
Received weight, lb (kg)	58.78 (26.66)	

For connection strength testing, the cells of the units and the spaces between the SRW units were filled with aggregate. The client provided aggregates and requested to perform the connection strength testing with an aggregate moisture content of approximately 12.5%. The client reported that the aggregate supplied met the gradation targets shown in Table 2 (Ref. 2).

Sieve Size		Perce	nt Passing (by we	ight)	
3/4"	<u></u>		55 - 75	<u></u>	90 - 100
1/2"			-	55 - 75	_
3/8"	_	_	-		55 - 75
1/4"	30 - 45	30 - 45	35 - 50	40 - 55	40 - 60
No. 4 ¹		_		_	_
No. 10	2	2	2	2	2

¹ Report percent passing sieve when no grading requirements are listed

² Of the fraction passing the 1/4 inch sieve, 40 percent to 60 percent shall pass the No. 10 sieve

The connection strength was determined using geosynthetic reinforcement with the trade name "SG350", manufactured by Strata. This geosynthetic is constructed out of high molecular weight and high tenacity polyester multifilament yarns which are woven in tension and finished with PVC coating. The manufacturer's website (www.geogrid.com) contains published information for the ultimate tensile strength of the geosynthetic materials used in this project. As provided by the manufacturer the ultimate tensile strength reportedly obtained when tested in accordance with ASTM D6637-2015, *Standard Test Method for Determining Tensile Properties of Geogrids by the Single or Multi Rib Tensile Method* (Ref. 3), is 5,000 lb/ft (73.0 kN/m) for this geosynthetic.

3. CONNECTION STRENGTH PROCEDURES

The connection strength tests were performed in accordance with ASTM D6638-11. All tests were performed on the same configuration as described below and in the accompanying photographs.

- A bottom course was constructed using "Murata" units. Three SRW units were used for the construction of the bottom course (Figure 2).
- Aggregate was added to the spaces between the units as needed. The aggregate was compacted after placement (Figure 3).
- A 31.4 inch (0.8 m) piece of the geosynthetic reinforcement was placed on top of the bottom course of units (Figure 4).
- A second course of "Murata" units were placed on top of the lower course of units and the geosynthetic reinforcement. The spaces between the units in the second course were filled with aggregate. The aggregate was compacted after placement (Figure 5).
- A neoprene pad, steel plates, and a steel beam were placed on the top course of units to provide uniform axial load distribution (Figure 6).
- From the rear of the frame, the geosynthetic was rolled around a steel spreader bar to connect to the hydraulic rams. An aluminum frame was connected to the geosynthetic, which in turn was used to attach two linear displacement potentiometers to measure the amount of geosynthetic pullout and deformation during testing (Figure 7).
- The overall nominal length of the tested configuration was 3.93 feet (1.19 m). The length of the geosynthetic used for testing was 2.6 feet (0.8 m)



Figure 2 – First Course of SRW Units



Figure 3 – First Course with Compacted Aggregate



Figure 4 – Placement of Geosynthetic



Figure 6 – Neoprene Pads, Loading Plates, and Beam



Figure 5 – Second Course of SRW Units with Aggregate



Figure 7 – Rear of Testing Frame

Connection Strength Evaluation of the Segmental Retaining Wall Unit "Murata" and "SG350" Geosynthetic Soil Reinforcement Page 8 of 13 Project Number: 18-110-1 July 21, 2020 Once the test specimens were constructed they were tested using the procedures defined by ASTM D6638-11:

- Normal load was applied to the wall specimen through a dual ram hydraulic loading system applied to the steel beam, plates, and neoprene pad. The magnitude of the normal load for each hydraulic ram was maintained at a constant level and monitored using electronic load cells and a data acquisition system.
- With the normal load applied, the geosynthetic was subjected to a horizontal load by displacing the geosynthetic at a rate equal to 10 percent of the initial free length of grid per minute using a dual ram hydraulic load system and steel spreader bar. The free length of grid is defined as the distance from the back of the units to the loading clamp, and is 10 in. (254 mm) for this test setup. The displacement rate was 1.0 in./min (25.4 mm/min). Each test was continued until the connection strength began to decrease.
- Horizontal displacement of the geosynthetic grid was recorded during testing.

Testing was performed at five unique normal load levels. One normal load was repeated twice, for a total of seven unique connection strength tests.

4. RESULTS

Connection strength is defined as the connection load divided by the test width of the geosynthetic reinforcement. The peak connection strength is defined as the highest recorded value of connection strength. ASTM D6638-11 requires reporting of serviceability connection strength, but the displacement that defines the serviceability strength is not specified. In this project, the service state shear strength is determined based on the critera outlined in ICC-ES AC276, *Acceptance Criteria for Segmental Retaining Walls*, (Ref. 4), which requires the deformation criterion at 0.75 inch (19.0mm) displacement.

Results for the connection strength testing are provided in the Appendix and are summarized in Table 3. In addition to the data presented, a plot of connection strength versus displacement as well as connection strength versus normal load is provided in the Appendix.

As required by the test method, one axial load level was tested three times to determine repeatability. The axial load repeated was 725 lb/ft (10.8 kN/m), and the results of those tests were within the general range of repeatability of the test method (\pm 10 percent from the mean of the three tests for the peak connection strength).

All specimens failed due to rupture of the geosynthetic reinforcement in combination with some geosynthetic displacement. Figure 8 shows the typical failure mode for this project.

Table 3 – Summary of Connection Strength Tests – "Murata" Unit and SG350							
Test	Average Axial	Approximate Wall	Service State	Peak Connection			
Number	Load	Height based on	Connection Strength	Strength			
	lb/ft (kN/m)	Axial Load	lb/ft (kN/m)	lb/ft (kN/m)			
		ft (m)					
1	360 (5.4)	4.0 (1.22)	589 (8.6)	735 (10.7)			
2	725 (10.8)	8.1 (2.45)	868 (12.6)	1,046 (15.3)			
3	533 (8.0)	5.9 (1.80)	620 (9.0)	896 (13.1)			
4	728 (10.9)	8.1 (2.46)	863 (12.6)	1,112 (16.2)			
5	905 (13.5)	10.1 (3.06)	769 (11.2)	1,073 (15.7)			
6	723 (10.8)	8.0 (2.45)	905 (13.2)	1,158 (16.9)			
7	1,083 (16.2)	12.0 (3.66)	816 (11.9)	1,262 (18.4)			



Figure 8 – Typical Failure Mode

5. DISCUSSION

The following discussion is not a required portion of the ASTM D6638-11 standard, but is provided for the reference and convenience of the reader.

A plot of normal load versus connection strength is also provided in the Appendix of this report. As can be seen from these figures, a relationship can be determined for the peak connection strengths as a function of the normal loads. Using a best-fit linear trend line, a relationship is determined in accordance with the NCMA *Design Manual for Segmental Retaining Walls, Third Edition* (ref.5). Relationships are provided for the peak connection strength (T_{cl}) and service state connection strength (T_{cw}) within the range of the normal loads tested in this study.

These relationships apply to the combination of SRW units, aggregate, and geosynthetic soil reinforcement used in this study.

Connection Strength Evaluation of the Segmental Retaining Wall Unit "Murata" and "SG350" Geosynthetic Soil Reinforcement Page 10 of 13 Project Number: 18-110-1 July 21, 2020

6. REFERENCES

- 1. ASTM Standard D6638, 2011, "Standard Test Method for Determining Connection Strength Between Geosynthetic Reinforcement and Segmental Concrete Units (Modular Concrete Blocks)", www.astm.org
- 2. Oregon DOT Standard Specification for Construction, 2018, https://www.oregon.gov/ODOT
- 3. ASTM Standard D6637, 2015, "Standard Test Method for Determining Tensile Properties of Geogrids by the Single or Multi-Rib Tensile Method", www.astm.org.
- 4. ICC-ES AC276, *Acceptance Criteria for Segmental Retaining Walls*, 2004, ICC Evaluation Service, LLC, www.icc-es.org.
- 5. *NCMA Design Manual for Segmental Retaining Walls, Third Edition*, 2009, National Concrete Masonry Association, www.ncma.org

NCMA Job Number 18-110-1A

Displacement

(ji

Peak







the methodology found in the NCMA Design Manual for Segmental Retaining Walls (Ref. 5): Peak Connection Strength, Tcl, (lb/ft) = Normal Load * tan 34.22° + 550.16 lb/ft Service State Connection Strength, Tcw (lb/ft) = Normal Load * tan 18.78° + 532.38 lb/ft

APPENDIX A- "MURATA" UNIT AND "SG350"

.05 .04 .04 .04 .04 .04 .04 .1.22

Page 12 of 13 Project Number: 18-110-1 July 21, 2020

Connection Strength Evaluation of the Segmental Retaining Wall Unit "Murata" and "SG350" Geosynthetic Soil Reinforcement

NCMA Job Number 18-110-1B

Segmental Retaining Wall Units - Murata Geosynthetic - SG350 (Ultimate Tensile Strength, Tindex (ASTM D6637)) = 73.0 kN/m

Test Set Murata / SG350



Connection Strength Evaluation of the Segmental Retaining Wall Unit "Murata" and "SG350" Geosynthetic Soil Reinforcement Page 13 of 13 Project Number: 18-110-1 July 21, 2020